

# 2013 British Pakistani Psychiatrists Annual Conference 2013 Survey, 28th-29th September

# Which sessions did you attend?



### How would you rate the speakers on Day 1?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 0



	Very Poor	Poor-	Satisfactory-	Good-	Very Good-	Excellent-	Not Applicable/Did not attend—	Total—
Dr Derek Summerfield, Medical ethics and torture	0.00%	<b>1.96%</b>	<b>11.76%</b> 6	<b>23.53%</b> 12	<b>19.61%</b> 10	<b>31.37%</b> 16	<b>11.76%</b> 6	51
Dr Rafey Farooqi, War trauma	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.00%</b> 1	<b>14.00%</b> 7	<b>36.00%</b> 18	<b>32.00%</b> 16	<b>8.00%</b> 4	<b>8.00%</b> 4	50
Prof Atif Rahman, Building capacity for mental health research	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>6.00%</b> 3	<b>32.00%</b> 16	<b>26.00%</b> 13	<b>28.00%</b> 14	<b>8.00%</b> 4	50
Dr Laurence Mynors-Wallis, Revalidation	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>6.00%</b> 3	<b>32.00%</b> 16	<b>32.00%</b> 16	<b>26.00%</b> 13	<b>4.00%</b> 2	50
Dr Waquas Waheed, Research in South Asian Women	<b>6.25%</b> 3	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>18.75%</b> 9	<b>33.33%</b> 16	<b>27.08%</b> 13	<b>10.42%</b> 5	<b>4.17%</b> 2	48
Prof Simon Dein, Apocalyptic suicide	0.00%	<b>2.17%</b> 1	<b>6.52%</b> 3	<b>23.91%</b> 11	<b>32.61%</b> 15	<b>32.61%</b> 15	<b>2.17%</b>	46
Dr Fawad Kaiser, Psychopathology of terrorism	<b>4.76%</b> 2	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>14.29%</b> 6	<b>23.81%</b> 10	<b>38.10%</b> 16	<b>19.05%</b> 8	0.00%	42

	Very Poor	Poor-	Satisfactory-	Good-	Very Good-	Excellent-	Not Applicable/Did not attend—	Total—
Abu Muntasir, Narrative of a reformed terrorist	<b>7.14%</b> 3	<b>2.38%</b> 1	<b>14.29%</b> 6	<b>11.90%</b> 5	<b>21.43%</b> 9	<b>42.86%</b> 18	<b>0.00%</b> 0	42
Prof Murad Khan, Suicide and 'suicide' bombing in Pakistan	<b>2.78%</b>	<b>5.56%</b> 2	<b>13.89%</b> 5	<b>25.00%</b> 9	<b>22.22%</b> 8	<b>25.00%</b> 9	<b>5.56%</b> 2	36
Overall Quality of Speakers on Day 1	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.94%</b> 1	<b>11.76%</b> 4	<b>32.35%</b>	<b>32.35%</b> 11	<b>20.59%</b> 7	<b>0.00%</b> 0	34

#### Any comments about the speakers on Day 1?

Answered: 27

Skipped: 26

#### Showing 27 responses

Abu Muntasir's talk was very powerful and I am sure it has left a positive impact on all listeners. Can you ask him to write down his 13 points to be published in BPPA Nama? 10/2/2013 9:27 AM View respondent's answers

Dr Waheed lecture did not fit into the theme of the conference. He talked about crisis team not Asian women. Very poor presentation with no link between the slides.

9/30/2013 7:28 PM View respondent's answers

All of them had in- depth knowledge in the area they had selected to present which was made it very interesting.

9/30/2013 1:09 PM View respondent's answers

Good presentations. All thought provoking information

9/29/2013 11:51 PM View respondent's answers

overall not well prepared, except one or two speakers

9/29/2013 11:49 PM View respondent's answers

Dr Summersfield should have been given more time to do his presentation. An eye opener, very scintillating, jolting, no politically correct but much enlightening presentation. Wish we have more doctors like him

9/29/2013 11:46 PM View respondent's answers

Dr Farooqui had good info but poor presentation Dr Summerfield fantastic presentation, very good start to the day Dr Waheed kept speaking to only one corner in the room Symposium 2 very bored chair and cochair, a little smile and vetter communication maybe helpful and inviting Dr Kaiser very interesting, may want to speak a little slow. Very nfair as only allocated 15 minutes Abu Muntasir fantastic, excellent speaker. Would love to hear from Dr Kaiser and Abu Muntasir again. Very bad audio system (Very noisy)

9/29/2013 11:31 PM View respondent's answers

Prof Murad Khan did not come Very good day 1 speakers

9/29/2013 11:22 PM View respondent's answers

Time management may need some attention

9/29/2013 11:17 PM View respondent's answers

Well done organisers

9/29/2013 11:13 PM View respondent's answers

Mixed quality

9/29/2013 11:11 PM View respondent's answers

Clearly interesting topics selected. Thanks to organising committee

9/29/2013 11:10 PM View respondent's answers

The talk by the first speaker was a personal view/ personal recollections which did not fit well with the academic programme. Similarly the talk by Simon Dein was simply a chat about extreme groups of no scientific merit.

9/29/2013 11:06 PM View respondent's answers

The last session was interesting but not relevant for CPD requirements. The first speaker was good

9/29/2013 11:01 PM View respondent's answers

Some lectures (Dr Kaiser, Abu Muntasir) did not have any significant releavnce to continued professional development

9/29/2013 10:57 PM View respondent's answers

All speakers did very well, however due to equipment failure there was some disturbance in their presentations

9/29/2013 10:51 PM View respondent's answers

Interactive sessions. Very comprehensive. Good literature But less time for questions - should be longer

9/29/2013 10:45 PM View respondent's answers

All the speakers were very good and delivery was also very good

9/29/2013 10:42 PM View respondent's answers

It would have been helpful to have heard about succesfull intervention with potential terrorists

9/29/2013 10:36 PM View respondent's answers

Dr Kaiser talk - more time was needed It would be helpful to tell speakers before hand how to prepare slides i.e. color and background as it was extremely difficult to see slides from the back

9/29/2013 10:28 PM View respondent's answers

Good time keeping Good talk on revalidation and information of recent RCPsych developments

9/29/2013 10:23 PM View respondent's answers

The first speaker was outstanding

9/29/2013 10:21 PM View respondent's answers

Good presentations, clinically advanced

9/29/2013 10:17 PM View respondent's answers

Enlightening new points from each speaker Revalidation: Expected more on the subject but still iseful to hear about College;s thinking & plans

9/29/2013 8:39 PM View respondent's answers

Please ask the chairs and co-chairs to be more active in originating discussion Dr Farooqui didnt say much about his topic

9/29/2013 8:37 PM View respondent's answers

very Good!


9/29/2013 8:35 PM View respondent's answers

very good!

9/29/2013 8:06 PM View respondent's answers

# How would you rate the speakers on Day 2?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 0



	Very Poor	Poor-	Satisfactory	Good-	Very Good-	Excellent-	Not Applicable/Did not attend	Total-
Let us get physical, Dr Alex Mitchell	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b> ()	<b>3.85%</b> 2	<b>7.69%</b> 4	<b>9.62%</b> 5	<b>34.62%</b> 18	<b>44.23%</b> 23	52
Matters of the heart, Dr Zaheer Yousef	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>8.70%</b> 2	<b>21.74%</b> 5	<b>17.39%</b> 4	<b>43.48%</b> 10	<b>8.70%</b> 2	23
Overall Quality of Speakers on Day 2	<b>0.00%</b> 0	0.00%	<b>4.35%</b> 1	<b>13.04%</b> 3	<b>26.09%</b> 6	<b>47.83%</b> 11	<b>8.70%</b> 2	23

## Any comments about the speakers on Day 2?

We need more of physical medicine related to psychiatry as you already know. Top notch selection of speakers for the Day 2.

10/1/2013 11:52 AM View respondent's answers

Excellent

9/29/2013 11:51 PM View respondent's answers

Good

9/29/2013 11:22 PM View respondent's answers

Finally got some CPD Would prefer more on ECG and less on cardiac devices which are less relevant for a general psychiatrist 9/29/2013 11:06 PM View respondent's answers

Too much data by first speaker made it difficult to follow Cardiology session was not relevant especially first part 9/29/2013 11:01 PM View respondent's answers

Presented lots of data which was difficult to keep in head. Would help if there was a handout

9/29/2013 10:57 PM View respondent's answers

Poor time management. Ran out of time. Speakers need more time

9/29/2013 10:53 PM View respondent's answers

Alex Mitchell has presented in an excellent way. However he was too fast in showing slides

9/29/2013 10:51 PM View respondent's answers


Better organised, more interactive session. Very thorough.

9/29/2013 10:45 PM View respondent's answers

It has been one of the best sessions I attended 9/29/2013 8:35 PM <u>View respondent's answers</u>
Excellent - more time needed to complete 9/29/2013 8:33 PM <u>View respondent's answers</u>
It has been one of the best sessions I attended 9/29/2013 8:06 PM <u>View respondent's answers</u>

### How was the Social Evening?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 0



	Very Poor	Poor-	Satisfactory-	Good-	Very Good-	Excellent-	Not Applicable/Did not attend	Total-
- Food	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>1.92%</b>	<b>1.92%</b>	<b>7.69%</b> 4	<b>25.00%</b> 13	<b>19.23%</b>	<b>44.23%</b> 23	52
_ Music	<b>3.33%</b>	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>13.33%</b> 4	<b>26.67%</b> 8	<b>33.33%</b> 10	<b>20.00%</b> 6	<b>3.33%</b>	30
Hall/Venue	<b>0.00%</b> ()	<b>3.33%</b>	<b>10.00%</b> 3	<b>26.67%</b> 8	<b>43.33%</b> 13	<b>13.33%</b> 4	<b>3.33%</b>	30
Overall Quality of Social Evening	<b>3.23%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>6.45%</b> 2	<b>22.58%</b> 7	<b>38.71%</b> 12	<b>22.58%</b> 7	<b>6.45%</b> 2	31

#### Any comments about the Social Evening?

Answered: 9

Skipped: 44

Thanks to organisers and well done Rufi.

10/2/2013 9:27 AM View respondent's answers

Fantastic value for money.

9/30/2013 1:09 PM View respondent's answers

Need more of it

9/29/2013 11:51 PM View respondent's answers

very enjoyable evening

9/29/2013 11:22 PM View respondent's answers

Nice to meet and chat with freinds. A good evening overall. Thankyou

9/29/2013 11:06 PM View respondent's answers

The musical function was not as good as promised. not value for money.

9/29/2013 11:01 PM View respondent's answers

Musical was not upto the mark at the beginning

9/29/2013 10:57 PM View respondent's answers


Absolute disaster. Singer has no ideas what he was singing and what the audiance likes and dislikes

9/29/2013 10:51 PM View respondent's answers

Evening was good but sound was very high. Otherwise it was entertaining

### How would you rate the following?

Answered: 53 Skipped: 0



	Very Poor-	Poor-	Satisfactory-	Good-	Very Good-	Excellent-	Not Applicable/Did not attend—	Total-
- Venue	0.00%	<b>4.55%</b> 2	<b>6.82%</b> 3	<b>13.64%</b> 6	<b>40.91%</b> 18	<b>13.64%</b> 6	<b>20.45%</b> 9	44
Organisation	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>2.56%</b> 1	<b>7.69%</b> 3	<b>20.51%</b> 8	<b>41.03%</b> 16	<b>23.08%</b> 9	<b>5.13%</b> 2	39
Refreshments & Lunch Day 1 (Saturday)	<b>2.56%</b> 1	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>15.38%</b> 6	<b>25.64%</b> 10	<b>33.33%</b> 13	<b>20.51%</b> 8	<b>2.56%</b>	39
Refreshments & Lunch Day 2 (Sunday)	0.00%	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>11.11%</b> 3	<b>14.81%</b> 4	<b>29.63%</b> 8	<b>33.33%</b> 9	<b>11.11%</b> 3	27
Literature: BPPA Bulletin	0.00%	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>12.50%</b> 4	<b>15.63%</b> 5	<b>53.13%</b> 17	<b>15.63%</b> 5	<b>3.13%</b>	32
_ Literature: BPPA-Nama Urdu	<b>0.00%</b> 0	<b>0.00%</b>	<b>10.71%</b> 3	<b>10.71%</b> 3	<b>39.29%</b> 11	<b>32.14%</b> 9	<b>7.14%</b> 2	28
Value for Money	<b>0.00%</b> O	<b>2.86%</b>	<b>8.57%</b> 3	<b>2.86%</b>	<b>14.29%</b> 5	<b>65.71%</b> 23	<b>5.71%</b> 2	35

# Any other comments?

Answered: 17 Skipped: 36

We need to have a look on RCPsych exams calendar before finalizing our future dates. It should not clash with exams to allow juniors to attend. 10/2/2013 9:27 AM <u>View respondent's answers</u>
I wish more people would attend. You guys are doing a wonderful job.

10/1/2013 11:52 AM <u>View respondent's answers</u>
Many thanks for all the hard work in organising the conference.

9/30/2013 8:03 PM View respondent's answers

Just a thought; The academic programme should include a non medical academic session which may include social and literary speakers including fsahion and food gurus which may go along with the academic sessions or as part of the programme. This would encourage the families accompanying to be more involved in the day rather than just waiting in the lounge for the social evening. This may improve the revenue as well.

9/30/2013 1:09 PM View respondent's answers

I was looking forward to this Hotel as a venue but disappointed with sound sytesm, plates not removed from tables, unacceptable provision of mikes to questions from the flow, poor check in system for this hotel. Please change venue to somewhere in Midlands Colleagues give a blood lecture rather than ask a question. Please stop, it is annoying, Consistantly only luke war water provided for tea

9/29/2013 11:27 PM View respondent's answers

Keep working hard to make BPPA the best organisation in the UK

9/29/2013 11:22 PM View respondent's answers

Feedback should be specific to each symposium. people have submitted feedback without even in the symposium or before the end event which potentially scars the feedback 9/29/2013 11:17 PM View respondent's answers

Thanks to all who participated in organising this meeting Meeting next year-better in Mid September

9/29/2013 11:10 PM View respondent's answers

Such a disappointing scientific programme on the first day. Having attended all the conferences of the BPPA this was unquestionably the most disappointing. I will think long and hard and scrutinise the pogramme of the next one before deciding whether to attend. the social aspect however was good.

9/29/2013 11:06 PM View respondent's answers

Problems with tea all day. On Saturday ran out of hot water. Tea was very cold

9/29/2013 11:01 PM View respondent's answers

Coffee was cold

9/29/2013 10:57 PM View respondent's answers

Venue is not good, lunch not good. Tea/coffee was cold. dinner was Perfect. Musical Evening was a Disaster

9/29/2013 10:51 PM View respondent's answers

hold next annual meeting in Manchester

9/29/2013 10:46 PM View respondent's answers

Everything was fine with good venue, lectures and entertainment

9/29/2013 10:42 PM View respondent's answers

Overall I would give a high raing for the content and quality of the programme. Full credit goes to Mateen and his team. I would greatly admire the organisation BPPA for upholding the tradition of starting the programme with the recitation of the Quran and especially making an excellent arrangement for prayer. Well done Mateen and Fahad! 9/29/2013 10:36 PM View respondent's answers

The conference and topic are impressive and touch topics that you rarely find in the UK

9/29/2013 10:30 PM View respondent's answers

to arrange more meetings

9/29/2013 10:17 PM View respondent's answers